

Speech by Prof. Dr. Stefan Homburg, German Bundestag, 11.11.2023

Ladies and gentlemen, we have had some dark years. Let's start with the most important things in five key points.

Firstly, hospital occupancy in Germany fell to an all-time low in 2020. Says our Federal Ministry of Health.

Secondly, there were no more severe respiratory illnesses than usual in 2020 and 2021. Corona came, influenza disappeared. Says the Robert Koch-Institut (RKI).

Thirdly, age-standardized mortality was not higher in 2020 than usual. Mortality has only increased since 2021. Says the Federal Statistical Office.

Fourthly, people who died with or from coronavirus were on average 83 years old, the other deceased were 82 years old. Say RKI and the Federal Statistical Office.

Fifthly, Sweden, which was free of masks and lockdowns, fared better than Germany. Says the WHO. So normal illnesses and deaths were not due to the measures.

To summarize: Clinically, in terms of real illnesses and deaths, there was nothing special. It was all normal. These are facts, and that is the most important point. The idea of a “pandemic” arose exclusively from new types of mass testing without cause, the results of which fluctuated enormously and led the public to believe that there were more sick people and deaths than usual. Which was not true. A PCR test for rhinoviruses could have created similar illusions. And governments could create these illusions now if they wanted to.

How did politicians react to the normal clinical situation? They closed daycare centers, schools, stores, churches and businesses for months, isolated the elderly and left them to die alone, destroyed livelihoods. The police banned people from reading books on a park bench, hunted down young people outdoors and children in the snow, and beat up peaceful demonstrators. Politicians marginalized anyone who questioned the justification of the measures. They had public TV announce that children were virus-carrying rats and that unvaccinated people were appendixes that did not belong to the national body. A language we haven't heard for 75 years.

Usually, government's power is limited by the courts, the media and science. This time they have all failed:

- Courts hardly examined the proportionality and only believed two witnesses who report to the Minister of Health, namely RKI and Paul-Ehrlich-Institut. In this way, the government was able to self-certify the necessity of lockdowns and vaccine mandates. In addition, the courts sent to prison many doctors who followed their ethos and issued certificates to protected patients from interventions in their physical integrity. Almost every known critic of the measures was criminalized, suspended, dismissed, arrested or subjected to a house search. The Chief Justice cynically declared that citizens' fundamental rights had not been taken away, but could no longer be exercised.
- Media read test figures *ad nauseam* without mentioning that the clinical situation was normal. They uncritically followed the PCR narrative, stylizing Prof. Püschel,

who was the first to perform autopsies, as a racist, Prof. Bhakdi as an anti-Semite and literally anyone who questioned the measures as a conspiracy theorist and enemy of the state.

- Specialist scientists, above all epidemiologists and public health doctors, disappeared from the scene. They were replaced by physicists, traffic planners, microbiologists and military experts, who kept the public in suspense with ever new false forecasts and model calculations. For months. For years.

A review of these events should clarify three sets of questions.

Firstly, according to textbook wisdom, a government must reassure the population at the beginning of a really dangerous pandemic to avoid collateral damage. Why did politicians instead have a shock paper written that made children afraid of killing their grandparents by suffocation? Why was there not a single doctor on the shock paper commission, but a sociologist and German teacher? Why did politicians fuel this scaremongering for years, while now claiming that they only made a few mistakes at the beginning and out of ignorance?

Secondly, in February 2020, textbooks and WHO guidelines advised against measures such as lockdowns or school closures. At the time, the RKI announced that masks would not help and that coronavirus was usually mild. Mr. Drosten also emphasized these points in interviews and at the federal press conference. The same Mr. Drosten told our current Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz in a talk show that the pandemic would not even be noticed without PCR tests! What led to the U-turn in March 2020, even though data showed that there was no particular danger at that time? Since Sweden, which was free of masks and lockdowns, performed noticeably better than Germany, one must also ask whether the measures were really intended to prevent infection, which they clearly did not, or rather served the purpose of breaking down vaccine hesitancy.

Thirdly, why did politicians not only allow an experimental vaccine, but in fact forced people to take the substance through several types of mandates? Why were there emergency approvals without an emergency? Why have countless vaccine injuries had no consequences?

At the moment, and this brings me to my conclusion, bestsellers are appearing with clear titles that reflect a widespread mood: “The Intensive Care Mafia” by Tom Lausen, “State Crime” by Dr. Frank or “The Corona Conspiracy” by Dr. Röhrig. All with five-figure editions. Politicians who refuse to engage in this discourse lose trust and distance themselves from the electorate. Due to the enormity of what happened to the population, a formal investigative committee would have been the right thing to do. Because the Bundestag did not want it, I am grateful that we can contribute to clarification in this context today.

Note: The German version also contains a set of official sources that prove the five initial assertions. You find it here: <https://t.co/l9GSd5Tjjo>